

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

				
APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,054	11/14/2001	Aref Ben Ahmed Jallouli	ESSR:058US/MBW	4850
	7590 11/08/2006	EXAMINER		
	GHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P	SERGENT, RABON A		
A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP				
SUITE 240	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
600 CONC	GRESS AVENUE	1711		
AUSTIN, TX 78701			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/992,054	JALLOULI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 S</u>	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24 and 28-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6) Claim(s) 22-24,28-30,33-35,38 and 40-43 is/ar	☑ Claim(s) <u>22-24,28-30,33-35,38 and 40-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>31,32,36,37 and 39</u> is/are objected to	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	ımary (PTO-413) 1ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 28 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The first prepolymer of claim 28 renders the claim indefinite and fails to further limit claim 22, because the lower end of the average molecular weight range cannot be obtained when the values of x and y are 1 or less; furthermore, such compounds would not be the required polysulfide.

The subject matter of claim 41 fails to further limit claim 22, because the "at least one S atom in its chain" limitation fails to satisfy the requirement that the dithiol prepolymer must be a polysulfide.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 22-24, 28-30, 33-35, 38, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 01/36508.

The reference discloses an optical polymerizate, suitable for the production of optical lens material having a refractive index that exceeds that claimed, wherein the polymerizate is produced from an isocyanate functional prepolymer and an aromatic primary diamine, wherein the reactants contain sulfur atoms. See abstract, pages 3-23, and claim 7. Furthermore, with respect to claim 28, there is no requirement that x or y not be zero; therefore, when x is zero, the resulting prepolymer would be satisfied by an oligomer of 2,2'-thiodiethanethiol. See claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,054

Art Unit: 1711

4. Claims 22-24, 28-30, 33-35, 38, and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being

anticipated by WO 01/36507.

The reference discloses an optical polymerizate, suitable for the production of optical

lens material having a refractive index that exceeds that claimed, wherein the polymerizate is

produced from an isocyanate functional prepolymer and an aromatic primary diamine, wherein

the reactants contain sulfur atoms. See abstract, pages 3-24, and claim 7. Furthermore, with

respect to claim 28, there is no requirement that x or y not be zero; therefore, when x is zero, the

resulting prepolymer would be satisfied by an oligomer of 2,2'-thiodiethanethiol. See claim 7.

5. Applicants' response, filed September 1, 2006, to the prior art rejections has been

carefully considered, however, the response is insufficient to overcome the rejections.

Applicants' argued definition of prepolymer allows for the prepolymer to be an oligomer, and it

is noted that claim 7 specifically allows for oligomers of the specified thiols, and the position is

taken that such oligomers would inherently encompass polysulfides. Applicants have not

conclusively established that the disclosed oligomers are not within or encompassed by the

argued prepolymers.

6. Claims 31, 32, 36, 37, and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent

November 1, 2006

Page 3